

TERRY M. GORDON - SBN 75604  
LAW OFFICES OF TERRY M. GORDON  
Three Harbor Drive, Suite 215  
Sausalito, California 94965  
Telephone: (415) 331-3601  
Facsimile: (415) 331-1225

JOHN G. JACOBS (PENDING *PRO HAC VICE*)  
BRYAN G. KOLTON (PENDING *PRO HAC VICE*)  
THE JACOBS LAW FIRM, CHTD.  
122 South Michigan Avenue  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
Telephone: (312) 427-4000  
Facsimile: (312) 427-1850

JAY EDELSON ( PENDING *PRO HAC VICE*)  
MYLES MCGUIRE (PENDING *PRO HAC VICE*)  
KAMBEREDLESON, LLC  
53 West Jackson Boulevard  
Suite 1530  
Chicago, Illinois 60604  
Telephone: (312) 589-6370  
Facsimile: (312) 873-4610

## ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RUSSELL BRADBERRY, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, ) Case No.C-07-5298 PJH  
Plaintiff, ) **PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION**  
v. ) **AND MOTION TO REMAND**  
MBLOX, INC., a Delaware corporation, ) Hearing Date: December 19, 2008  
Defendant. ) Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 ) Dept.: Crtrm. 3, 17<sup>th</sup> Flr.  
 ) The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton  
 ) **CLASS ACTION**

1 TO DEFENDANT AND ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD HEREIN:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 19, 2007, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 3  
3 of the above-entitled Court, Plaintiff Russell Bradberry, individually and on behalf of a class  
4 of similarly situated individuals, will appear before the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, and  
5 then and there present his Motion to Remand:

6 1. Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), Plaintiff moves to have  
7 this case remanded to the Superior Court of Santa Clara County, California. Defendant's  
8 removal of this case from that court to this Court was improper and contrary to well-  
9 established law on the subject. This Court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over the case.  
10 The case should be forthwith remanded to the Superior Court of Santa Clara County,  
11 California, and with attorney's fees awarded to Plaintiff.

12 2. The burden is on Defendant to show by a preponderance of the evidence that  
13 removal is proper, and it cannot sustain that burden. Defendant suggests two bases for  
14 jurisdiction in this Court to support removal: (a) CAFA (28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) and 1453),  
15 and (b) supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (a). As is set forth in Plaintiff's  
16 contemporaneously-filed Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiff's Motion To Remand, neither  
17 basis can be countenanced. Defendant's fails to satisfy its burden of proving that claimed  
18 damages are in excess of \$5 million as required for CAFA removal, and, as a matter of law,  
19 Defendant cannot rely upon 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a) to support jurisdiction in this Court.

20 3. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference his Memorandum In Support Of  
21 Plaintiff's Motion To Remand.

22 This Motion will be based on this Notice and Motion, and the supporting  
23 Memorandum filed herewith, and on the files and records of this Court, and such argument as  
24 may be permitted at the hearing.

25 WHEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that this Court forthwith remand this  
26 action to the Superior Court of Santa Clara County, California, from whence it was  
27  
28

1 improperly removed, and that the Court award Plaintiff his attorney's fees and such further or  
2 additional relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

3  
4 Respectfully submitted,

5 Dated: November 5, 2007

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
LAW OFFICES OF TERRY M. GORDON

By:/s/ Terry M. Gordon \_\_\_\_\_  
TERRY M. GORDON  
One of the Attorneys for RUSSELL  
BRADBERRY, individually and on  
behalf of a class of similarly situated  
individuals